

Thank you for submitting your abstract for the ESTRO 37 Congress.

**PLEASE NOTE THAT FURTHER CHANGES TO YOUR ABSTRACT ARE NO LONGER POSSIBLE.**

If you have further questions or remarks, please contact [abstracts@estro.org](mailto:abstracts@estro.org)

This is an overview of your abstract number E37-2840:

#### Session title

Track **Late Breaking Abstract Submission**

Topic **Late Breaking Abstracts**

Presentation preference **Oral presentation**

Abstract title **Ultrahypofractionation for prostate cancer: Outcome from the Scandinavian phase 3 HYPO-RT-PC trial**

A. WIDMARK<sup>1</sup>, A. GUNNLAUGSSON<sup>-2</sup>, L. BECKMAN<sup>3</sup>, C. THELLENBERG-KARLSSON<sup>1</sup>, M. HOYER<sup>4</sup>, M. LAGERLUND<sup>5</sup>, P. FRANSSON<sup>1</sup>, B. TAVELIN<sup>1</sup>, D.B. NORMAN<sup>6</sup>, J. KINDBLOM<sup>7</sup>, C. GINMAN<sup>8</sup>, B. JOHANSSON<sup>9</sup>, M. SEKE<sup>10</sup>, K. BJÖRLINGER<sup>11</sup>, M. ÅGRUP<sup>12</sup>, E. KJELLEN<sup>13</sup>, L. FRANZEN<sup>1</sup>, P. NILSSON<sup>14</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>UMEÅ UNIVERSITY, RADIATION SCIENCES/ONKOLOGY, UMEÅ, SWEDEN.

<sup>2</sup>SKÅNE UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL- LUND UNIVERSITY, DEPT. OF ONCOLOGY AND RADIATION PHYSICS, LUND, SWEDEN.

<sup>3</sup>UMEÅ UNIVERSITY, SUNDSVALL HOSPITAL- SUNDSVALL- AND DEPT. RADIATION SCIENCES, SUNDSVALL, SWEDEN.

<sup>4</sup>AARHUS UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL, DANISH CENTRE FOR PARTICLE THERAPY, AARHUS, DENMARK.

<sup>5</sup>KALMAR HOSPITAL, DEPARTMENT OF ONCOLOGY, KALMAR, SWEDEN.

<sup>6</sup>UMEÅ UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL., REGIONAL CANCER CENTRE NORTH, UMEÅ, SWEDEN.

<sup>7</sup>UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG-, DEPARTMENT OF ONCOLOGY- INSTITUTE OF CLINICAL SCIENCES- THE SAHLGRENSKA ACADEMY, GOTHENBURG, SWEDEN.

<sup>8</sup>KARLSTAD CENTRAL HOSPITAL, DEPARTMENT OF ONCOLOGY-, KARLSTAD, SWEDEN.

<sup>9</sup>ÖREBRO UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL AND ÖREBRO UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF ONCOLOGY, ÖREBRO, SWEDEN.

<sup>10</sup>CENTRALLASARETTET VÄXJÖ, DEPARTMENT OF ONCOLOGY-, VÄXJÖ, SWEDEN.

<sup>11</sup>JÖNKÖPING HOSPITAL, DEPARTMENT OF ONCOLOGY, VÄXJÖ, SWEDEN.

<sup>12</sup>LINKÖPING UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL-, DEPARTMENT OF ONCOLOGY-, LINKÖPINGT, SWEDEN.

<sup>13</sup>SKÅNE UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL- LUND UNIVERSITY-, DEPT. OF ONCOLOGY AND RADIATION PHYSICS- , LUND, SWEDEN.

<sup>14</sup>SKÅNE UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL- LUND UNIVERSITY-, DEPT. OF ONCOLOGY AND RADIATION PHYSICS, LUND, SWEDEN.

#### Purpose or Objective

**Hypofractionated (HF) radiotherapy (RT) for prostate cancer has gained increased attention due to its proposed high radiation-fraction sensitivity. Recent reports from randomised studies comparing moderately hypofractionated (M-HF) and conventionally fractionated (CF) radiotherapy (RT) have supported the value of M-HF. Until now there are no prospective randomised data for ultrahypofractionation (U-HF) regimens. Recently, we presented 2-year toxicity results from the first large randomised trial on U-HF versus CF; the Scandinavian HYPO-RT-PC study<sup>1</sup>. We now report the first results on primary outcome and updated toxicity data from this trial.**

#### Material and Methods

**The Scandinavian HYPO-RT-PC study is a randomised, phase III, non-inferiority trial that recruited patients with intermediate/high-risk prostate cancer (T1c-T3a, PSA ≤20 with one or two of the following risk factors; T3a or Gleason ≥7 or PSA >10). Patients were randomly assigned (1:1) to either CF (n=602), 39 x 2.0 Gy=78.0 Gy over 8 weeks or U-HF**

(n=598), 7 x 6.1 Gy=42.7 Gy over 2.5 weeks (RT every other weekday). No androgen deprivation therapy was allowed. The treatment schedules were designed to be equieffective for late normal tissue complication probability ( $\alpha/\beta=3$  Gy). Image guided RT (3DCRT or VMAT) based on fiducial markers was delivered to the prostate only (CTV) with a 7 mm isotropic CTV-PTV margin. The primary endpoint was time to biochemical or clinical failure and the treatment groups were compared by means of a Cox proportional hazards model. To conclude non-inferiority, a critical hazard ratio (HR) of 1.338 (one-sided  $\alpha=0.025$ ) was pre-specified, corresponding to a margin of 4% at 5 years. Physician's evaluation of side-effects was performed according to a modified RTOG scale. The trial number is ISRCTN45905321.

## Results

Between July 2005 to November 2015, 1200 patients from 12 centres were randomised. Analysis was made per protocol and 1180 patients (591 CF and 589 U-HF) with a median follow-up time of 59.7 months [95%CI 57.6–51.8] were eligible for evaluation of primary outcome. The total number of events during the follow-up period was 102 and 100 in the CF and the U-HF group, respectively. The proportion of patients, free of biochemical or clinical failure at 5 years, was 83.8% [95%CI 80.4–87.3] in the CF arm and 83.7% [95%CI 80.3–87.1] in the U-HF arm (Fig. 1). U-HF was found to be non-inferior to CF: HR (95% CI) = 0.992 (0.753, 1.307).

There were no significant differences in the prevalence of physician reported late grade 2+ toxicity at four/six years (CF vs. U-HF): urinary 4.1 % vs. 4.1 %,  $p=0.38$  / 3.5 % vs. 2.5%,  $p=0.75$  and bowel: 1.6% vs. 1.6%,  $p=1.00$  / 2.3% vs. 1.2%,  $p=0.69$ .

Fig. 1. Kaplan-Meier estimated failure-free survival (1=CF, 2=U-HF)

## Conclusion

Ultrahypofractionated RT (42.7 Gy/7 fractions) is non-inferior to conventionally fractionated RT (78 Gy/39 fractions). U-HF resulted in a low incidence of side-effects with no significant differences compared to CF for late GU and GI toxicity.

I have no potential conflict of interest to disclose

Keyword      Prostate Cancer

Kind regards,  
ESTRO Office

*This is an automated message – please do not reply directly to this e-mail*