



Course name: Biostatistics
Semester: HT 2020
Number of registered students: 35

1. Which aspects of the course went well?

A course evaluation was conducted in Cambro from which most of the reflections are done. It allowed for both grading and comments of different aspects. Most students (30 of 35) responded to this survey. It is worth mentioning that this course was held in lecture room with the exception of two lectures and the course seminars.

There were no general criticism in the written course evaluation neither were there any that appeared during lectures or through email correspondence or similar.

In the written course evaluation there were 7 questions which students responded to and at most 2 students were not satisfied in response to them. It was also a clear minority that “only” considered the aspect to be adequately fulfilled (this level should still be considered a positive level), which further clarifies that the students were happy with the course, and few aspects requires increase in quality.

Also worth mentioning that comments in regard to the course seminar were positive as well as for the lectures and the computer sessions.

2. Which aspects of the course need improvement?

Even if there was some students not being perfectly happy with all aspects, in general there were no specific part of the course that was lifted by the students that needs improvement.

An issue that in this course just like previous years have been lifted is that a few of the students with no pre-knowledge of statistics has a bigger challenge and would like the course to make it easier for them. They might benefit from more examples conducted during the course and this is something that is an issue that continuously is thought about. Something that was not brought up but that could be improved is a higher extent of discussions between students during the lectures based on a topic from the teacher.



3. Suggested changes for the coming semester (if any)

As mentioned in weakness is potential changes to increase the time spent for examples in class and the level of interactivity between students.

There would be a value of finding a better book. That been said current book is definitely of high enough level for this course.

Considering the positive written course evaluation it is obvious that there is no need of any major changes in the course and this is therefore not planned.

Lecturer: Fredrik Norström

Date: 2021-02-19



Umeå International School of Public Health
Department of Epidemiology and Global Health
Web Summary for courses