Umeå University, 901 87 Umeå, Sweden
Department for Geography and Economic History
www.geoekhist.umu.se

Summary of course evaluation

Course name, course code
Advanced Spatial Analysis, 2KG057

Start and finish date
180115-180319

Date of summary
180320

Number of registered students/participants in evaluation
8/8

Forms of evaluation
Written at end of course and oral half-way

1. ***General comments about the course and its implementation***
In general, the students are satisfied with the content of the course, and all state that they have learned a lot (although struggled quite hard).

2. ***What has worked (particularly) well during the course?***
Many students appreciated the incremental increase in demand over the assignments as well as the relevance of methods. A majority also credit the teachers to be helpful and supportive.

3. ***What has worked less well or failed?***
The course is perceived to be too demanding and a suggestion is that introductions could be held in the computer lab so that students directly could start with the exercises. A general comment is that there could be a greater variation of data used in the assignments (e.g., EU-level data etc rather than Swedish housing market and Swedish regional data). Marking could be quicker to make sure that same errors are not repeated. Some students ask for more structured seminars and/or solutions to the assignments.

4. ***What needs to be done before the course is given next time?***
This is the last time the course is given. Next year we will focus more on spatial analysis and less on basic methods. This will also involve more types of data used in the assignments and a greater variation of seminars. What we do need to think about is to return marked assignments in time to allow the students to incrementally learn from each assignment into the next one.